PAGE

Panel Shifts, **Votes Closed** Viet Hearing

By Warren Unna - Washington Post Staff Writer

The Senate Foreign Relations Committee yesterday unexpectedly backed away from confrontation with the Nixon administration on its Vietnam policy.

Sen. J. W. Fulbright (D-Ark.), committee chairman, announced that the Senate members, after a two-hour eleveddoor session, decided once again not to hold open hearings with administration witnesses at this time.

Instead, Fulbright said he would invite Secretary of State William P. Rogers and Secretary of Defense Melvin R. Laird to appear in closeddoor session the week of Nov.

After that, Fulbright explained, the committee would decide whether to release a sanitized public version of the secretaries' testimony, whether to go ahead with public Victnam hearings, and whether to get around to hearings on senators' individual resolutions calling for a timetable on U.S. troop withdrawals.

"The committee didn't want to do anything that could be interpreted as being antagonistic or causing any undue inflammation of the public mind. These are very difficult times," Fulbright told reporters as he referred to the upcoming Nov. 13-15 protest movement.

Only the day before, after expressing his displeasure with the President's Monday night Vietnam speech, Fulbright had himself initiated the idea of going ahead with a public inquiry and "full review" into the administration's Vietnam policy.

the truth when they posed to it and that is the of the hearing the state of the hearing the hearing the hearing the state of the hearing the "Americans will recognize "Americans with the truth when they are pose of the hearing bright promised.

See DEBATE, A11,

DEBATE, From A1

tee's original request to appear in public hearings-once speech had been delivered.

Senate Majority Leader Mike Mansfield (D-Mont.), a senior committee member, public listeners. hearings can provide an edu- from cational forum in an endeavor to ally ourselves with the frontation. President to withdraw lock. stock and barrel."

But yesterday, Mansfield also changed his mind.

"What! we are not going to structive as we can, not for matters of publicity, but for of the Joh matters of mutual interest. tion's policy. This can be very educational to us, as well as to the nation. said in an interview.

A poll of committee mem-plete.

bers, Republican and Demo-Reporters were reminded bright and Mansfield indeed much in 36 minutes" and so it that Rogers and Laird has as had backed away from their sured the committee they Tuesday impatience for an would carry out the commit- open inquiry. They reportedly joined ranks in deciding that the more "orderly" procedure the President's Monday night would be to allow Rogers and Laird to confide as much as they could without the embarrassing glare of television and

from the Administration to appear in the past but trying to avoid a public con begged off because of his

"We wanted to avoid a tit- the President." for-tat experience on troop of the Johnson administra- from Vietnam "on an orderly

Sen. Clifford P. Case (R-I think it's laying a sound explained that since President (Munn.), George Brown Jr. ground for a public hearing at Nixon already had initiated a (Calif.), Philip Burton (Calif.), the time," Mansfield withdrawal policy the problem John Conyers (Mich.), Bob

建国际 建国际 Fulbright sugges was necessary for his cabinet members to have a chance to "explain the rationale" of the speech to the committee members.

Fulbright was asked why the committee wasn't request ing an appearance from Henry A. Kissinger, the President's assistant for national security also told reporters on Tuesday: "I hope the committee there had been any requests that Kissinger had been asked "confidential relationship with

Also yesterday, 10 liberal withdrawals," one committee Democratic Congressmen andove explained. Others re-called the bitter polarization a resolution to the House next do is put on a show. What we over Vietnam the committee Monday expressing the sense are going to do is be as conhad experienced in becoming of the Congress that all U.S. collectively the leading critic forces should be withdrawn and fixed schedule."

Sponsoring the resolution N.J.), another committee dove, were: Reps. Donald M. Fraser now was how to make it com- Eckhardt (Tex.), Don Edwards (Calif.), Robert W. Kasten-

> meler (Wis), Abner J. Mikva (III.), Benjamin S. Rosenthal (N.Y.) and William F. Ryan (N.Y.)

After the House concluded Ita business at 7 p.m. about a dozen Democratic war critics stayed on the floor to engage in a series of speedhes expressing their disappointment at the President's Monday night speech. They placed in the Record a statement saying that the President picked up a failen standard and preclaimed Nixon's war ... the war he proposes to continue is dismayingly close to Johnson's War."

Also yesterday, Sen. George McGovern (D.S.D.) atticises the President's Vietnam speech by declaring: The fact is that President Nixon has no policy for Asia, and no plan for ending the war. The fact is that the so-called 'Nixan Doe trine' is no different from the Delles Doctrine or the fine Doctrine, predicated them the threat of nuclear retallation, a readiness to police the world with says and arms when directly or subversively